

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,731	10/25/2006	Stefan Leyen	DNAG-310	1384
	7590 12/16/200 & JAWORSKI, LLP	EXAMINER		
666 FIFTH AV	E		STEWART, JASON-DENNIS NEILKEN	
NEW YORK,	NY 10103-3198		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/549,731		LEYEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JASON-DENNIS STEWART	3738	

	JASON-DEINING STEWART	3730						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 04 December 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 T CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.							
	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (a) above, if checket. Any reply re-evived by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ite extension fee action; or (2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	iled within two months	of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to 			cause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		E below);						
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better 			a lancan far					
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	rucing or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the					
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving. 		be entered and an ex	planation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected io								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowand	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13. Other:								
	0.0 (III 1 0.0 /							
	/William H. Matthews/	nit 3774						
	Primary Examiner, Art U	III. 3//4						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Interlock of Bunz and Pope are obvious variants of each other and known by one of ordinary skill in the art.